



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MN
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,531	02/12/2004	Mark Charles Davis	IBMS.074PA(0526)	5154
62627 7590 06/26/2007 DAVID W. LYNCH CHAMBLISS, BAHNER & STOPHEL 1000 TALLAN SQUARE-S TWO UNION SQUARE CHATTANOOGA, TN 37402			EXAMINER SCHLIE, PAUL W	
			ART UNIT 2186	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,531	DAVIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul W. Schlie	2186	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO)

(3) David W. Lynch (Applicant's Attorney)

(2) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 June 2007

Time: ~ 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

U.S.C. 103 & ODP over 10/777,529

Claims discussed:

71-79

Prior art documents discussed:

Krum et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 6/14/07

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that Krum et al, nor other prior art of record clearly taught a multi-level hierarchically configured mass storage system being further limited by a specific linear configuration of sub storage elements proposed to be presented in a forth coming amendment, and was further agreed that such a set of claims would be reasonably considered obvious in view of similarly amended claims presented for co-pending application 10/777,529; thereby the examiner will delay response to the pending application until after timely receipt of the proposed further amended claims and corresponding anticipated TD.